

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/872,360	KAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jack M. Choules	2167	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** rejected

(1) Jack M. Choules.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert C. Kowert.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 29 July 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-8, 12, 13, 18-19, 25, and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The claims mentioned were listed as both rejected and allowed in the previous office action, these claims should stand rejected and be argued as such by the applicant. Note: this was a message left on the answering machine of the applicants representative.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)